Supplementary material to: Dusseldorp G, Lombard M, Wurz S. Pleistocene *Homo* and the updated Stone Age sequence of South Africa. S Afr J Sci. 2013;109(5/6), Art. #0042, 7 pages. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/sajs.2013/20120042</u>

EARLY <i>HO</i>	ОМО						
Accession number	Phase	Element	Age estimate	Associated archaeology	Taxonomic identification	References	Notes
Cooper's Ca	ve						
COA 1		Upper central incisor	1.9–1.5 Ma		Homo sp.	1,2	Found in museum collection at Ditsong Museum of Natural History, exact stratigraphic location cannot be reconstructed; may also be Australopithecus africanus
Cornelia Uit	zoek						
COR 2011	Mottled Yellow Clay (MYC)	RM ¹	1.07–0.99 Ma	Acheulean	Homo sp.	3	
Drimolen							
DNH 24		Rd ²	2.0–1.5 Ma	Bone tools and two stone artefacts have been reported (authorship unclear, may be <i>Paranthropus</i>)	Homo?	4,5	Juvenile
DNH 34		Right petrous part of temporal bone and basioccipital	2.0–1.5 Ma	Bone tools and two stone artefacts have been reported (authorship unclear, may be <i>Paranthropus</i>)	Ното	4,5	Juvenile
DNH 35		Right mandible, left radius, ulna	2.0–1.5 Ma	Bone tools and two stone artefacts have been reported (authorship unclear, may be <i>Paranthropus</i>)	Ното	4,5	Juvenile
DNH 39		RM ¹	2.0–1.5 Ma	Bone tools and two stone artefacts have been reported (authorship unclear, may be Paranthropus)	Ното	4-6	Originally no species assigned; juvenile
DNH 42		Rdm ²	2.0–1.5 Ma	Bone tools and two stone artefacts have been reported (authorship unclear, may be Paranthropus)	Homo?	4-6	Juvenile, originally published as possibly <i>Paranthropus</i> ⁴
DNH 45		RI ²	2.0–1.5 Ma	Bone tools and two stone artefacts have been reported (authorship unclear, may be Paranthropus)	Ното	4-6	Juvenile
DNH 67		RM1 bud	2.0–1.5 Ma	Bone tools and two stone artefacts have been reported (authorship unclear, may be <i>Paranthropus</i>)	Homo?	4-6	Juvenile, originally published as <i>Paranthropus</i> ⁴

Supplementary table 1: Inventory of Pleistocene Homo material recorded for South Africa

DNH 70		LM ¹	2.0–1.5 Ma	Bone tools and two stone artefacts have been reported (authorship	Homo or H.gautengensis	4,5,7	Juvenile
				unclear, may be Paranthropus)			
DNH 71		RI ¹ bud	2.0–1.5 Ma	Bone tools and two stone artefacts have been reported (authorship unclear, may be <i>Paranthropus</i>)	Ното	4,5	Juvenile
DNH 80		Ll ₂	2.0–1.5 Ma	Bone tools and two stone artefacts have been reported (authorship unclear, may be <i>Paranthropus</i>)	Ното	4–6	Adult, originally published as <i>P. robustus</i> ⁴
Gondolin				•			•
GDA-1		Left lower molar	Olduvai subchron? (1.95– 1.78 Ma)	None	Homo sp.?	1,8	Found in dump area; based on palaeomagnetism, deposits thought to date to Oldovai subchron. Part of material from GD1/3 localities shows reversed or intermediate polarities and may be older or younger
Kromdraai B	3						
KB 5223		Incomplete mandibular dentition, Ld _c , Ldm ₁ , Ldm ₂ , Ll ₁ , Ll ₂ , L _c , LM ₁ , Rdm ₂ , Rl ₁ , Rl ₂ , RM ₁	~1.9 Ma	None, artefacts present in Kromdraai A, but no hominins at that location	Homo sp. or Paranthropus	9–11	
Sterkfontein	า						
Sts 19	Member 4		Reported ages: >2.5 Ma; 1.5–2.5 Ma; 2.0-2.8 Ma; 2.95–1.95 Ma	None	H. habilis or A. africanus	7,12– 14,15,16– 18	Originally published as <i>A. africanus</i> , ^{17,18} some authors still support that identification. Generally referred to Member 4, yet provenance is disputed
StW 151	Late Member 4 breccia deposit, or StW 53 infill	Maxillary, mandibular fragments, associated cranial fragments and associated teeth		None (if member 4 or StW 53 breccia provenance is accepted)	Homo sp. or Homo gautengensis	7,14,19,20	Originally thought to be <i>A. africanus</i> but suggested to show more derived condition than <i>A. africanus</i> and thus may be early <i>Homo</i> . First stated to derive from Member 4 ¹⁹ , later revised to StW 53 breccia ²¹
StW 53	Member 5 Stw 53 breccia (M5A)	Cranium	Reported ages: 2.6–2 Ma, 1.8–1.5 Ma	None	H. habilis or A. africanus or Homo sp. nov. or H. gautengensis	7,21–25	
StW 75-79	Member 5 Stw 53 breccia (M5A)	Dentition I ¹ -P ³	Reported ages: 2.6–2 Ma, 1.8–1.5 Ma	None	Homo aff. or H. habilis or H. gautengensis	7,24,25	
StW 571	Member 5 Stw 53 breccia (M5A)	Right proximal ulna	Reported ages: 2.6–2 Ma, 1.8–1.5 Ma	None	Homo sp.	24,25	

StW 85	Member 5	Mandible	Reported ages:	Oldowan	Homo sp.	21,24,26
	East (M5B)		2.0–1.7 Ma; 1.4– 1.1 Ma			
StW 311	Member 5 East (M5B)	Right femoral head	Reported ages: 2.0–1.7 Ma; 1.4– 1.1 Ma	Oldowan	Homo sp.	21,24,26
StW 559	Member 5 East (M5B)	M ² or M ³	Reported ages: 2.0–1.7 Ma; 1.4– 1.1 Ma	Oldowan	Homo sp.	21,24,26
StW 567	Member 5 East (M5B)	Right distal tibia	Reported ages: 2.0–1.7 Ma; 1.4– 1.1 Ma	Oldowan	Homo sp.	21,24,26
StW 572	Member 5 East (M5B)	Lumbar vertebra	Reported ages: 2.0–1.7 Ma; 1.4– 1.1 Ma	Oldowan	Homo sp.	21,24,26
StW 33	Member 5 extension site; Member 5 West	RP ⁴	Reported ages: 1.7–1.4 Ma; 1.26– 0.82 Ma	Acheulean	Homo sp.	7,21,24,26
StW 80	Member 5 West	Mandible	Reported ages: 1.7–1.4 Ma; 1.26– 0.82 Ma	Acheulean	H. ergaster or H. gautengensis	7,21,24,26
SE 255	Member 5 extension site; Member 5 West	Juvenile maxilla with M ¹ , dm ¹ and dm ²	Reported ages: 1.7–1.4 Ma; 1.26– 0.82 Ma	Acheulean	Homo aff. or H. habilis or H. gautengensis	7,21,24,26
SE 1937	Member 5 extension site; Member 5 West	Lower C	Reported ages: 1.7–1.4 Ma; 1.26– 0.82 Ma	Acheulean	H. habilis or Homo aff. H. sapiens sensu lato (H. erectus/H. ergaster)	21,22,24,26
SE 1508	Member 5 extension site; Member 5 West	M ²	Reported ages: 1.7–1.4 Ma; 1.26– 0.82 Ma	Acheulean	Homo aff. or H. sapiens sensu lato (H. erectus/H. ergaster) or H. gautengensis	7,21,24,26
SE 1579	Member 5 extension site; Member 5 West	RM ² fragment	Reported ages: 1.7–1.4 Ma; 1.26– 0.82 Ma	Acheulean	Homo sp. or H. gautengensis	21,24,26
SE 2396	Member 5 extension	Half of P ³	Reported ages: 1.7–1.4 Ma; 1.26–	Acheulean	H. habilis	7,21,22,24, 26

	site; Member 5 West		0.82 Ma				
SE 2398	Member 5 extension site; Member 5 West	Postcanine tooth, vertebra	Reported ages: 1.7–1.4 Ma; 1.26– 0.82 Ma	Acheulean	Homo sp.	21,24,26	
StW 84	Member 5?	Left mandible corpus			H. habilis or H. gautengensis	7,21,24,27	Found in post-member 6, but thought to have eroded from Member 5 ²¹
StW 585	L63 area	R ^c		Acheulean and Middle Stone Age (MSA)	Archaic H. sapiens	21,28	Part of MSA materials that eroded into L63
StW 591	Lincoln Cave South	LI ¹	Deposit 252 –115 ka; sediments, including fossils redeposited from older deposits	Acheulean (MSA also found in deposit because of mixing of older and newer materials)	Homo ergaster	21,28	Unerupted. Materials probably eroded out of Member 5 West into Lincoln Cave, where they became mixed with younger materials
StW 592	Lincoln Cave South	LM ¹	Deposit 252 –115 ka; sediments, including fossils redeposited from older deposits	Acheulean (MSA also found in deposit because of mixing of older and newer materials)	Homo ergaster	21,28	Unerupted. Materials probably eroded out of Member 5 West into Lincoln Cave, where they became mixed with younger materials
StW 593	Lincoln Cave South	¹	Deposit 252 –115 ka; sediments, including fossils redeposited from older deposits	Acheulean (MSA also found in deposit because of mixing of older and newer materials)	Homo ergaster	21,28	Probably from right side. Materials probably eroded out of Member 5 West into Lincoln Cave, where they became mixed with younger materials
StW 594	Lincoln Cave South	Cranial fragment	Deposit 252 –115 ka; sediments, including fossils redeposited from older deposits	Acheulean (MSA also found in deposit because of mixing of older and newer materials)	Homo ergaster	21,28	Materials probably eroded out of Member 5 West into Lincoln Cave, where they became mixed with younger materials
StW 18a	Dump 3; Member 5 extension site	Premolar			Homo sp.	21,24	Conflicting reports on provenance
StW 18b	Dump 3; Member 5 extension site	Maxilla with RM ² and RM ³			Homo aff. or H. habilis, or H. gautengensis	7,21,24	Conflicting reports on provenance
StW 27	Dump 3; Member 5 extension site	Distal part of 3rd Metacarpal			Homo sp.	21,24	Conflicting reports on provenance

StW 34	Dump 3; Member 5	Left antimere M ² of StW 19b			H. habilis or H. gautengensis	21,24	Conflicting reports on provenance
	extension site						
StW 42	Dump 1; Member 5 extension site	RI ²			H. habilis	21,24	Conflicting reports on provenance
Swartkrans	1						
SK 27	Member 1, Hanging remnant	Juvenile cranium	2.3–1.8 Ma	Developed Oldowan	H. habilis	7,22,26,29	Originally assigned to <i>Paranthropus</i> . ²⁹ Might be <i>H. habilis</i> or <i>H. gautengensis</i> ⁷
SK 45	Member 1, Hanging remnant	Mandibular fragment	2.3–1.8 Ma	Developed Oldowan	H. habilis? or H. erectus?	7,26,30	Originally assigned to <i>Telanthropus capensis</i> , ³⁰ which was later discarded and the specimens assigned to <i>H. erectus</i>
SK 68	Member 1, Hanging remnant	l	2.3–1.8 Ma	Developed Oldowan	Ното	26,31	
SK 74	Member 1, Hanging remnant	I ₁	2.3–1.8 Ma	Developed Oldowan	H. erectus	26,32,33	Originally assigned to <i>Telanthropus</i> ³³
SK 84	Member 1, Hanging remnant	Metacarpal I	2.3–1.8 Ma	Developed Oldowan	H. cf. erectus	32–34	Originally assigned to Paranthropus ³³
SK 85	Member 1, Hanging remnant	Metacarpal IV	2.3–1.8 Ma	Developed Oldowan		26,33,35	Originally assigned to <i>Telanthropus</i> ³³
SK 847	Member 1, Hanging remnant	Cranium and mandible	2.3–1.8 Ma	Developed Oldowan	H. erectus or H. africanus or H leakeyi or H. habilis or Homo sp. nov. or H. gautengensis	7,32,36–39	Clarke found that mandible SK 80, assigned to <i>T. capensis,</i> belonged to the same individual as the cranium and is now subsumed under SK 847. See summary of taxonomic attributions ³⁹
SK 853	Member 1, Hanging remnant	Lumbar vertebra	2.3–1.8 Ma	Developed Oldowan	Homo erectus	7,26,34	Not fully mature
SK 1896	Member 1, Hanging remnant	Distal right femur	2.3–1.8 Ma	Developed Oldowan	Ното	26,40	Very large male
SK 2635	Member 1, Hanging remnant	Maxillary dentition	2.3–1.8 Ma	Developed Oldowan	Ното	7,26,36	
SKW 3114	Member 1, Hanging remnant	Maxilla	2.3–1.8 Ma	Developed Oldowan	Homo or H. gautengensis	7,26,41	Juvenile

SK 3115b	Member 1, Hanging remnant	Os innominatum	2.3–1.8 Ma	Developed Oldowan	H. erectus	26,32,37	Attribution questioned, could be robust australopithecine, also assigned to <i>H. africanus</i> ³⁷
SKW 34805	Member 1, Lower Bank	Distal humerus	2.3–1.8 Ma	Developed Oldowan	Ното	26,40	
SKX 21204	Member 1	Mandibular corpus	2.3–1.8 Ma	Developed Oldowan	Ното	26,31	
SWT1/LB-5	Member 1, Lower Bank	Molar	2.3–1.8 Ma	Developed Oldowan	cf. Homo	26,42	
SK 15	Member 2	Mandible	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	H. erectus or H. gautengensis	7,26,30,32, 43	When discovered, recognised to be different from the <i>Paranthropus</i> specimens at the site; assigned to new species <i>T. capensis</i> , ³⁰ which was later discarded and the specimens assigned to <i>H. erectus</i> . ³²
SK 18a	Member 2	LP ₁	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Homo erectus	7,26,30,32, 43,44	Assigned to <i>T. capensis</i> ; ³⁰ Curnoe ⁷ lists it as P_3
SK 18b	Member 2	Fragment of radius	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean		26,30,32,43	Assigned to <i>T. capensis</i> ³⁰
SK 43	Member 2	RP ₄	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Ното	18,26,43	
SKW 1261	Member 2	Pedal middle phalanx	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Possibly Homo	26,43,45	
SKW 2954	Member 2	Metacarpal IV	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	?	7,26,34,43	Listed as <i>Homo</i> by Curnoe ⁷ , yet his cited source ³⁴ states 'as likely to belong to <i>Homo</i> as <i>Paranthropus</i> '
SKW 3646	Member 2	Metacarpal III	1.65-1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	?	7,26,34,43	Listed as <i>Homo</i> by Curnoe ⁷ , yet his cited source ³⁴ states 'as likely to belong to <i>Homo</i> as <i>Paranthropus</i> '
SKX 247	Member 2	Metatarsal III	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Possibly Homo	26,43,45	
SKX 257	Member 2	RM ¹	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Homo or H. gautengensis	7,26,31,43	Probably from same individual as SKX 258
SKX 258	Member 2	LM ¹	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Homo or H. gautengensis	7,26,31,43	Probably from same individual as SKX 257
SKX 267	Member 2	Rdm ²	1.65-1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Homo or H. gautengensis	7,26,31,43	Associated with SKX 268 and 269 and possibly with SKX 2671
SKX 268	Member 2	RM ¹	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Homo or H. gautengensis	26,31,43	Associated with SKX 267 and 269 and possibly with SKX 2671
SKX 269	Member 2	R ^c	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Ното	7,26,31,43	Associated with SKX 267 and 268 and possibly with SKX 2671
SKX 339	Member 2	RI ¹	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Homo or H. gautengensis	7,26,31,43	
SKX 610	Member 2	RI ²	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Homo or H. gautengensis	7,26,31,43	

SKX 334	Member 2	RM ¹	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Ното	26,31,43	
SKX 344	Member 2	Pedal middle phalanx	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Possibly Homo	26,31,43	
SKX 1756	Member 2	Ldm ¹	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Ното	31,43,46	Originally provenance stated to be member 1–2 interface. Probably from same individual as SKX 2354, SKX2355, SKX2356
SKX 2045	Member 2	Proximal radius	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Homo cf. erectus	26,40 ,43	
SKX 2354	Member 2	LI ₂	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Ното	31,43,46	Originally provenance stated to be member 1–2 interface. ³¹ Probably from same individual as SKX 2355 and SKX 2356
SKX 2355	Member 2	LI ₁	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Ното	31,43,46	Originally provenance stated to be member 1–2 interface. ³¹ Probably from same individual as SKX 2354 and SKX 2356
SKX 2356	Member 2	R _C	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Ното	31,43,46	Originally provenance stated to be member 1–2 interface. ³¹ Probably from same individual as SKX 2354 and SKX 2355
SKX 2671	Member 2	Rdm ²	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Ното	31,43,46	Originally provenance stated to be member 1–2 interface. ³¹ Associated with SKX 267/268/269 from member 2
SKX 3342	Member 2	Partial thoracic vertebra	1.65–1.07 Ma	Developed Oldowan/Acheulean	Ното	26,31,43	
SKX 10924	Member 3	Distal humerus	1.04–0.65 Ma	Possibly Acheulean	Ното	26,40,43	Female?
SKX 22741	Member 3	Proximal phalanx	1.04–0.65 Ma	Possibly Acheulean	Ното	26,40,43	
SKX 27431	Member 3	Proximal phalanx	1.04–0.65 Ma	Possibly Acheulean	Homo?	26,34,43	

MID PLEISTOCENE HOMO

Accession number	Phase	Element	Age estimate	Associated archaeology	Taxonomic identification	References	Notes
Cave of Hear	ths						
	Bed 3	Mandible	~700 ka or as young as 200–500 ka	Acheulean	Transitional H. erectus/archaic H. sapiens or archaic H. sapiens	47–52	Molars have +5 cusp pattern. Recent analysis suggests that it falls inside modern human variation ⁵²
	Swallow hole	Radius		terminal Acheulean/MSA	Early modern H. sapiens	48,53	Found in secondary context in swallow hole with material from ESA and MSA and some later material. Shows combination of primitive and derived traits
Elandsfontei	n				•	•	
SAM-PQ- EH-1		Partial cranium	1 Ma to 600 ka (probably closer to 600 ka)	Acheulean	H. heidelbergensis	44,54,55	Found on deflation horizon. Hence no direct association with the nearby finds of fauna used for dating and Acheulean artefacts, but likely deposited in same period

Florisbad							
NMB 1374	Spring vent bisecting Peat I	Partial cranium	259 ± 35 ka	Possibly early MSA	H. heidelbergensis or H. helmei or late archaic H. sapiens	44,56–58	Found in spring vent, bisecting horizontal layers. The archaeology is associated with the horizontal layers and is reasonably close in age to the fossil, yet not directly associated
	Spring vent bisecting Peat I	M ³	259 ± 35 ka	Possibly early MSA	Not diagnostic	44,57,58	Found in spring vent, bisecting horizontal layers. The archaeology is associated with the horizontal layers and is reasonably close in age to the fossil, yet not directly associated
Gladysvale							
GV 4339	GVED	Manual phalanx	780–578 ka	Acheulean present in underlying SWC unit, near contact with GVED	Homo sp.	59–61	
Hoedjiespur	nt						
HDP1-1	Lowermost shelly sand, HOMS	LM ²	300–200 ka	None	H. heidelbergensis	62,63	Morphologically modern, but larger than modern African sample, size overlaps with largest South African males ⁶² later publication states that dimensions fall in the range of Middle Pleistocene hominins ⁶³
HDP1-2	Lowermost shelly sand, HOMS	RM ³	300–200 ka	None	H. heidelbergensis	62,63	Morphologically modern, but larger than modern African sample, size overlaps with largest South African males ⁶² ; later publication states that dimensions fall in the range of Middle Pleistocene hominins ⁶³
HDP1-3	HOMS	LI1	300–200 ka	None	H. heidelbergensis	63	Faint shovelling (archaic trait), probably same individual as HDP1-4; Table 2 ⁶³ suggests that buccolingual diameter falls outside 2SD from average for modern Bantu-speakers of South Africa
HDP1-4	HOMS	Ll ₂	300–200 ka	None	H. heidelbergensis	63	Probably same individual as HDP1-3; Table 2 ⁶³ suggests that mesiodistal diameter falls outside 2SD range of average for modern Bantu-speakers of South Africa, buccolingual falls just inside 2SD interval
	Lowermost shelly sand, HOMS	Tibia	300–200 ka	None	H. heidelbergensis	63,64	

MIDDLE STONE AGE MODERN HOMO SAPIENS

Accession number	Phase	Element	Date	Associated archaeology	Taxonomic identification	References	Notes
Blind River							
A. 1101	Estuarine calcarenite	Partial femur	MIS 5e (~118 ka)	Artefacts undescribed	H. sapiens	67	Modern morphology, compatible with recent South African Bantu-speakers, or large-bodied Khoe-San; broken open with hammerstone

Blombos Ca	ve						
SAM-AP 6292	M3	RP ³	~100 ka	Pre-Still Bay MSA	H. sapiens	6566	
SAM-AP 6295	M3	RP⁴	~100 ka	Pre-Still Bay MSA	H. sapiens	65,66	
SAM-AP 6303	M3	RP ^{3/4}	~100 ka	Pre-Still Bay MSA	H. sapiens	66	Grooving: use of toothpicks, or use of teeth for activities?
SAM-AP 8972	M3	M frag	~100 ka	Pre-Still Bay MSA	H. sapiens	66	
SAM-AP 8973	M3	Ldi ¹	~100 ka	Pre-Still Bay MSA	H. sapiens	66	Metrically in upper end of variation of modern samples, fits well in Neanderthal sample. Lingual tubercle present, which is rare in modern San and South Africans
SAM-AP 6293	M2	Rdi ¹	~78 ka	Still Bay	H. sapiens	65,66	Metrically in upper end of variation of modern samples, fits well in Neanderthal sample
SAM-AP 6264	M1	Ldm ¹	~72 ka	Still Bay	H. sapiens	65,66	Mesiodistal and buccolingual diameter in range of modern samples
SAM-AP 8928	M1	Rdm ¹	~72 ka	Still Bay	H. sapiens	66	Mesiodistal diameter in range of modern African sample, buccolingual diameter outside range of modern African sample and at upper end of Neanderthal variation
SAM-AP 8971	M1	Ldm ²	~72 ka	Still Bay	H. sapiens	66	Mesiodistal diameter in modern African range, buccolingual diameter at upper limits for most African samples except 1 from Rwanda, inside Neanderthal range
Border Cave	9						
BC1	4BS or 5BS	Partial cranium	4BS 91–71 ka; 5BS 171–152 ka	MSA	H. sapiens	44,68,69	Found in secondary context, assigned to stratigraphic layer on the basis of adhering sediment, not all authors are convinced that it is not intrusive Holocene material. Has supra-orbital torus, which is absent in Bantu-speakers and San ⁶⁸
BC2	4BS or 5BS	Mandible	4BS 91–71 ka; 5BS 171–152 ka	MSA	H. sapiens	44,68,69	Found in secondary context, assigned to stratigraphic layer on the basis of adhering sediment, not all authors are convinced that it is not intrusive Holocene material
BC3	Grave dug into 4BS	Partial infant skeleton, cranial fragments, well preserved mandible, and long bone shafts	90–66 ka	Howiesons Poort?	H. sapiens	44,68,69	Found in dug grave; perforated <i>Conus</i> shell associated with it used for dating. Based on dating of a shell fragment in grave fill correlated to HP and thought to date to between 90 ka and 66 ka. However, if grave was dug during later periods, disturbance of archaeological deposits at the site could also result in old materials being present in grave fill
BC5	3WA	Mandible	72–61 ka	Howiesons Poort	H. sapiens	44,69,70	
Not published	Horton's pit (secondary context)	Right humerus	Uncertain: MSA, based on bone crystallinity.	MSA	H. sapiens	71,72	Longer than LSA humeri, larger cross-sectional dimensions. 'Built more like archaic <i>Homo</i> than "anatomically modern" <i>Homo'</i> , but morphological similarities to LSA specimens. ⁷² No accession number designated ⁷¹
Not published	Horton's pit	Right proximal ulna	Uncertain: MSA, based on bone	MSA	H. sapiens	71,73	Archaic morphology, similar to Klasies River specimen ⁷³

	(secondary context)		crystallinity.				
Not published		Right metatarsals IV and V			H. sapiens	74	
Die Kelders							
DK1 AP 6244	4	Rdm ²	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	75	
DK1 AP 6245	4	Rdi ²	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	75	
DK1 AP 6264	4/5	LP ⁴	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	May be antimere of AP6264 from level 4/5 and associated with AP6275 AP6280 and AP6282 from level 6
DK1 AP 6242	6	RM ₁	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	75	
DK1 AP 6243	6	Rdm ²	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	75	
DK1 AP 6246	6	Ldm1	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	75	Mesiodistal and buccolingual diameters at 'very upper end' of 95% confidence interval of modern Africans
DK1 AP 6247	6	Ld _c	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	75	Mesiodistal and buccolingual diameters exceed 95% confidence interval for modern Africans
DK1 AP 6248	6	Rdi ₂	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	75	Mesiodistal diameter exceeds 95% confidence interval for modern Africans
DK1 AP 6255	6	Rd ^c	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76,77	Mesiodistal and buccolingual diameters exceed 95% confidence interval for modern Africans
DK1 AP 6256	6	Ld _c	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	Probably associated with mandibular fragment AP6276
DK1 AP 6257	6	Rdm ²	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	
DK1 AP 6267	6	Phalanx	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	
DK1 AP 6275	6	LI ¹	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	Associated with AP6264 from level 4/5 and AP6280-82
DK1 AP 6276	6	Mandible	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	
DK1 AP 6277	6	LM ₁	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	Probably associated with mandibular fragment AP6276
DK1 AP 6280	6	RC	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	Associated with AP6281, 6282 6275 and 6264
DK1 AP 6281	6	RP ⁴	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	May be antimere of AP6264 from level 4/5, similar degree of wear suggests association with AP6275 AP6280 and AP6282
DK1 AP 6282	6	RM ₂	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	Associated with AP6280, 6281, 6275 and 6264
DK1 AP 6288	6	Ldi ₂	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	Probably associated with mandibular fragment AP6276

			1				1
DK1 AP 6289	6	Phalanx	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	
DK1 AP 6290	6	Ldi1	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	
DK1 AP 6291	8	Ldm ₁	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	
DK1 AP 6278	10	Rdi ¹	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	
DK1 AP 6258	11	LP ₄	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	
DK1 AP 6250	14	Rd ^c	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	75	Mesiodistal diameter at 'very upper end' of 95% confidence limits for modern Africans
DK1 AP 6279	14	RP ₄	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	76	
DK1 AP 6249	15	Rdi ¹	MIS 4	MSA, possibly Mossel Bay/MSA 2	H. sapiens	75	Mesiodistal diameter at 'very upper end' of 95% confidence limits for modern Africans
Diepkloof R	ock shelter						
DRS 3		Ldm1	~60 ka	Howiesons Poort	H. sapiens	78	
DRS 1		Middle pedal phalange, ray 5	~57.4 - 46 ka	post-Howiesons Poort /Sibudu	H. sapiens	78	
DRS 2		Distal pedal phalange, ray 5	~57.4 - 46 ka	post-Howiesons Poort /Sibudu	H. sapiens	78	
Equus Cave							
	1B	2 Isolated teeth	Entire sequence: 75–27 ka; but could be late MIS 2, ~12–10 ka	Hyena den. Some MSA artefacts possibly mixed with Robberg	H. sapiens	1,74,79–81	Larger than in recent skulls
	2A	3 Isolated teeth	Entire sequence: 75–27 ka; but could be late MIS 2, ~12–10 ka	Hyena den. Some MSA artefacts	H. sapiens	1,74,79–81	Larger than in recent skulls
	2B	4 Isolated teeth	Entire sequence: 75–27 ka; but could be late MIS 2, ~12–10 ka	Hyena den. Some MSA artefacts	H. sapiens	1,74,79–81	Larger than in recent skulls
		Partial mandible			H. sapiens	1,74,79–81	Found in secondary context, not certain that it derives from Pleistocene layers 1B–2B
Klasies Rive	r – Deacon exca	vations		-	•	•	
	AA43/SAS4 SHB, LBS Member,	Maxillary fragment	~110 ka	Klasies River	H. sapiens	82,83	
	Cave 1A						

ZZ44/SAS4 SHC, LBS Member, Cave 1 A	Maxillary fragment	~110 ka	Klasies River	H. sapiens	82,83	
Sq 01/C1, sub- member SAS U, unit SMB	tooth crown, probable Rdm ₂	~100 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	84,85	Mesiodistal length lies below 95% confidence interval for modern Bantu-speakers and San
Sq A2/1 sub- member SAS U, unit SMB		~100 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	84,85	Mesiodistal diameter below average for Bantu-speakers, but in 95% confidence interval for Bantu-speaking females and in range of unsexed San
Sq A2/3, sub- member SAS U, unit SMB	LM ²	~100 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	84	
Sq A1/4, sub- member SAS U, unit SMB	LM ³	~100 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	84	
Lower part of SAS member	Right proximal ulna	~100 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	82,86	
Sq A2/2, sub- member SAS U, unit SMB 2	Second left metatarsal	~100 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	87	
Sq B1/3, sub- member SAS U, unit SMB	Fifth right metatarsal	~100 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	87	Length outside the 2SD range for modern Bantu-speaking males and females and far beyond the range of modern burials in the Cape. Distinctive dorsal curvature, unlike modern homologues
KRM 1A H51, CP1	Rdm ¹	65–60 ka	Howiesons Poort	H. sapiens	85	
KRM 1A E50, TSAS	Ld ¹	~60–40 ka	post-Howiesons Poort /Sibudu	H. sapiens	85	
KRM 1A E50, AV	RP ₄	~60–40 ka	post-Howiesons Poort /Sibudu	H. sapiens	85	

Klasies River	- Singer and V	Vymer excavations					
KRM 26909	Cave 1 Layer37	Parietal fragment of skull	~110 ka	Klasies River	H. sapiens	88–90	
KRM 26910	Cave 1 Layer37	Parietal fragment?	~110 ka	Klasies River	H. sapiens	88–90	Companion piece of KRM 26909, missing from South African Museum ⁸⁹
KRM 27070	Cave 1 Layer 37	Thin skull fragment	~110 ka	Klasies River	H. sapiens	88–90	
KRM 41820	Cave 1B Layer10	Fragment of mandibular condyle	~100–80ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	88–90	
KRM 41815	Cave 1B Layer10	Mandible	~100-80ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	74,82,88– 90	Chin clearly present ⁸²
KRM 13400	Cave 1 Layer 14	Corpus of mandible	~100–80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	82,88–90	Associated with isolated teeth 14691-4; 6. ⁸⁸ Rather heavily built. ⁸² Based on differences in wear later suggested KRM 14692 belonged to different individual ⁸²
KRM 14691	Cave 1 Layer 14	LM ₁	~100–80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	82,88–90	Associated with 13400
KRM 14693	Cave 1 Layer 14	LM ₂	~100–80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	82,88–90	Associated with 13400
KRM 14694	Cave 1 Layer 14	LM ₃	~100–80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	82,88–90	Associated with 13400
KRM 14696	Cave 1 Layer 14	LM1	~100–80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	82,88–90	Associated with 13400. Originally published as either LM_1 or LM_2 but later suggested to be LM_1^{82}
KRM 14692	Cave 1 Layer 14	LP ₁	~100-80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	82,88–90	Originally suggested to be associated with 13400. ⁸⁹ Based on differences in wear later suggested to have belonged to different individual ⁸²
KRM 14695	Cave 1 Layer 14	Fragment of mandibular body	~100–80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	82,88–90	Chin present ⁸²
KRM 16424	Cave 1 Layer 14	Right corpus of a mandible, with three molars	~100-80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	82,88–90	Small mandible, probably adult female ⁸²
KRM 16425	Cave 1 Layer16	Fragment of frontal bone in glabellar region	~100–80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	82,88–90	Gracile morphology, cut marks ^{82,88}
KRM 27038	Cave 1 Layer 15+	Parietal fragment	~100–80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	88–90	
KRM 21776	Cave 1 Layer 17	Part of left corpus of mandible	~100–80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	88–90	Chin clearly present ⁸²
KRM 41658	Cave 1A Layer 36	Parietal bone	~100–80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	88–90	
KRM 16651	Cave 1 Layer 14	Left zygomatic bone	~100–80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	88–90	

KRM 26076	Cave 1 Layer 14	Left clavicle	~100–80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	88–90	Small, lightly built ⁸⁸
KRM 27574-7	Cave 1 Layer 14	Fragments of cranial vault	~100-80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	88–90	
KRM 26730-2	Cave 1A Layer 34	Fragments of cranial vault	~100–80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	88–90	
KRM 27889	Cave 1 Layer 15	Fragment of radius	~100-80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	88–90	
KRM 16720	Cave 1 Layer 14	Innominate bone, could belong to pubic portion of acetabular rim	~100-80 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	88–90	
	Cave 1 SAS member	First left metatarsal	~100 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	82,87	Fossil not recognised at the time of excavation, but added to site inventory later
	Cave 1 uppermost SAS levels	Lumbar vertebra	~100 ka	Mossel Bay	H. sapiens	82	Fossil not recognised at the time of excavation but added to site inventory later ⁸²
KRM 40243	Cave 1A Layer 6	Small parietal fragment	~60–40 ka	post-Howiesons Poort/Sibudu	H. sapiens	88–90	
KRM 40244	Cave 1A Layer 6	Small parietal fragment	~60–40 ka	post-Howiesons Poort/Sibudu	H. sapiens	88–90	
Pinnacle Poir	nt 13B			·			
Specimen 4500	Likely brown sand MSA	Parietal fragment	~90–100 ka	Mossel Bay?	H. sapiens	91,92	Found in secondary context, correlated to stratigraphic unit based on adhering sediment. Context described in original publication, ⁹² probably equivalent to Dark Brown Sands of later publications ⁹¹
Specimen 4501	Likely brown sand MSA	Central incisor	~90–100 ka	Mossel Bay?	H. sapiens	91,92	Large, mediodistal and buccolingual diameters both at upper end of the range compared to modern Africans; however, small compared to Middle and Early Pleistocene African fossils. Shows shovelling, which is an archaic trait; found in secondary context, correlated to stratigraphic unit based on adhering sediment
Plovers Lake							
	FBU1	Proximal ulna fragment	88.7–62.9 ka	MSA	H. sapiens	93	
	FBU1	Tibial fragment	88.7–62.9 ka	MSA	H. sapiens	93	Porcupine gnawing
	FBU1	RP ₄ -RM ₂	88.7–62.9 ka	MSA	H. sapiens	93	Isolated teeth, but can be joined via interproximal wear facets
Sea Harvest							
		Phalanx	128–40 ka, most likely MIS 5b	None	H. sapiens	94–96	Very long. Length outside modern human and Neanderthal sample size, the dorsopalmar depth falls in the upper range of the Neanderthal sample and near the extreme of modern human sample. Because bone is eroded, the measurement is

							an underestimate and dorsopalmar depth was most likely originally outside modern human range. Phalanx more slender than Neanderthal phalanges. Morphologically could derive from archaic <i>H. sapiens</i>
		LP ⁴	128–40 ka, most likely MIS5b	None (found in hyena accumulation)	H. sapiens	94,95	Mesiodistal diameter in upper range of modern human male variation
Witkrans							
UCMP V4743 - 85497	Layer C	LM ₁ , RM ₁	103–86 ka	MSA 2 (Mossel Bay)	H. sapiens	97,98	Associated industry designated MSA 2. ⁹⁷ Date based on correlation of tuff within which the fossil was found, with tuff on other location that yielded U-series dates
UCMP V4643 - 12344	Layer C	RM ₂	103–86 ka	MSA 2 (Mossel Bay)	H. sapiens	97,98	Associated industry designated MSA 2. ⁹⁷ Date based on correlation of tuff within which the fossil was found, with tuff on other location that yielded U-series dates
FINAL MI	DDI E STONE	AGE/ FARLY LAT	FR STONE AGE HU	IMAN REMAINS			
FINAL MII	DDLE STONE Phase	AGE/ EARLY LAT	ER STONE AGE HU	IMAN REMAINS Associated archaeology	Taxonomic	References	Notes
Accession number					Taxonomic identification	References	Notes
Accession						References	
Accession number						References 44,56,99, 100	Notes Thought to be late Pleistocene, found in secondary context, with other re-deposited materials, Van Riet Lowe found single MSA tool in same context
Accession number Boskop	Phase	Element	Date	Associated archaeology	identification	44,56,99,	Thought to be late Pleistocene, found in secondary context, with other re-deposited materials, Van Riet Lowe found single
Accession number Boskop PEM 120	Phase	Element	Date	Associated archaeology	identification	44,56,99,	Thought to be late Pleistocene, found in secondary context, with other re-deposited materials, Van Riet Lowe found single
Accession number Boskop PEM 120	Phase pock Shelter Layer 16 (probable)	Element	Date	Associated archaeology 1 MSA artefact; may be older	identification H. sapiens	44,56,99, 100	Thought to be late Pleistocene, found in secondary context, with other re-deposited materials, Van Riet Lowe found single MSA tool in same context Provenance not completely certain. Not found in excavation, but picked out from section by tourist guide 'between layers 14 and 18'. Shows affinities with modern-day Bantu-speakers,
Accession number Boskop PEM 120 Bushman Ro	Phase pock Shelter Layer 16 (probable)	Element	Date	Associated archaeology 1 MSA artefact; may be older	identification H. sapiens	44,56,99, 100	Thought to be late Pleistocene, found in secondary context, with other re-deposited materials, Van Riet Lowe found single MSA tool in same context Provenance not completely certain. Not found in excavation, but picked out from section by tourist guide 'between layers 14 and 18'. Shows affinities with modern-day Bantu-speakers,
Accession number Boskop PEM 120 Bushman Ro	Phase pock Shelter Layer 16 (probable)	Skull Infant mandible	Date ~20 ka ~29 ka	Associated archaeology 1 MSA artefact; may be older LSA or MSA Fauresmith, MSA and LSA artefacts	identification H. sapiens H. sapiens	44,56,99, 100 101–103	Thought to be late Pleistocene, found in secondary context, with other re-deposited materials, Van Riet Lowe found single MSA tool in same context Provenance not completely certain. Not found in excavation, but picked out from section by tourist guide 'between layers 14 and 18'. Shows affinities with modern-day Bantu-speakers, but not San Heavily mineralised may suggest date in Late Pleistocene.
Accession number Boskop PEM 120 Bushman Ro Canteen Kop MMK 215	Phase pock Shelter Layer 16 (probable)	Skull Infant mandible	Date ~20 ka ~29 ka	Associated archaeology 1 MSA artefact; may be older LSA or MSA Fauresmith, MSA and LSA artefacts	identification H. sapiens H. sapiens	44,56,99, 100 101–103	Thought to be late Pleistocene, found in secondary context, with other re-deposited materials, Van Riet Lowe found single MSA tool in same context Provenance not completely certain. Not found in excavation, but picked out from section by tourist guide 'between layers 14 and 18'. Shows affinities with modern-day Bantu-speakers, but not San Heavily mineralised may suggest date in Late Pleistocene.

TERMINAI	TERMINAL PLEISTOCENE/EARLY HOLOCENE HUMAN REMAINS									
Accession number	Phase	Element	Date	Associated archaeology	Taxonomic identification	References	Notes			
Elands Bay C	ave		-							
UCT 378	Burial pit	Skull, mandible, some cervical vertebrae and arm bones	10 860 ± 180 bp	Oakhurst	H. sapiens	56,106,107	Known as 'Albany man'; stone tool industry has been described as transitional between Late Pleistocene microlithic or Oakhurst-like Terminal Pleistocene/early Holocene non- microlithic ¹⁰⁶			
Knysna Head	ls						·			
UCT 156		Cranium	10 110 ± 180 bp		H. sapiens	56,107				
Matjes River										
NMB 1342	MR 1	'Skeletal fragments'	10 120 ± 200 bp	Oakhurst	H. sapiens	56,80,108	Original publication states: 'left tibia, 4 lumbar vertebrae, 3 proximal phalanges, left 3rd and 4th rib, right patella, clavicle calcaneus, humerus' ¹⁰⁸ . Presumably this is the material they used to date the fossil, but it is unclear if more elements were excavated			
Tuinplaas										
TP 1		Almost complete skeleton	20–11 ka	'typical MSA'	H. sapiens	109	According to Pike et al. ¹⁰⁹ 'because the U and the date profiles towards the centre are close to uniform, the true age of the bone is probably only slightly older than this value'			

Supplementary references

- 1 Wood B, editor. Wiley-Blackwell Encyclopedia of human evolution. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell; 2011.
- 2 Berger LR, Pickford M, Thackeray JF. A Plio-Pleistocene hominid upper central incisor from the Cooper's site, South Africa. S Afr J Sci. 1995;91:541–542.
- Brink JS, Herries AIR, Moggi-Cecchi J, Gowlett JAJ, Bousman CB, Hancox JP, et al. First hominine remains from a ~1.0 million year old bone bed at Cornelia-Uitzoek, Free State Province, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 2012;63:527–535. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2012.06.004
- 4 Keyser AW, Menter CG, Moggi-Cecchi J, Pickering TR, Berger LR. Drimolen: A new hominid-bearing site in Gauteng. S Afr J Sci. 2000;96:193–197.
- 5 Backwell L, d'Errico F. Early hominid bone tools from Drimolen, South Africa. J Arch Sci. 2008;35:2880–2894. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2008.05.017</u>
- 6 Moggi-Cecchi J, Menter C, Boccone S, Keyser A. Early hominin dental remains from the Plio-Pleistocene site of Drimolen, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 2010;58:374–405. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2010.01.006</u>
- 7 Curnoe D. A review of early *Homo* in southern Africa focusing on cranial, mandibular and dental remains, with the description of a new species (*Homo gautengensis* sp. nov.). HOMO J Comp Hum Biol. 2010;61:151–177. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jchb.2010.04.002
- 8 Menter CG, Kuykendall KL, Keyser AW, Conroy GC. First record of hominid teeth from the Plio-Pleistocene site of Gondolin, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 1999;37:299–307. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jhev.1999.0329</u>
- 9 Thackeray F, Kirschvink JL, Raub TD. Palaeomagnetic analyses of calcified deposits from the Plio-Pleistocene hominid site of Kromdraai B, South Africa. S Afr J Sci. 2002;98:537–540.
- 10 Braga J, Thackeray JF. Early *Homo* at Kromdraai B: Probabilistic and morphological analysis of the lower dentition. Comptes Rendus Palevol. 2003;2:269–279. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1631-0683(03)00044-7
- 11 Lacruz RS. Enamel microstructure of the hominid KB 5223 from Kromdraai, South Africa. Am J Phys Anthropol. 2007;132:175–182. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.20506</u>
- Strait DS, Grine FE, Moniz MA. A reappraisal of early hominid phylogeny. J Hum Evol.
 1997;32:17–82. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jhev.1996.0097</u>
- 13 Ahern JCM. Underestimating intraspecific variation: The problem with excluding Sts 19 from Australopithecus africanus. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1998;105:461–480. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-8644(199804)105:4<461::AID-AJPA5>3.0.CO;2-R

- 14 Berger LR, Lacruz R, De Ruiter DJ. Revised age estimates of Australopithecus-bearing deposits at Sterkfontein, South Africa. Am J Phys Anthrop. 2002;119:192–197. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.10156</u>
- 15 Pickering TR, Clarke RJ, Moggi-Cecchi J. Role of carnivores in the accumulation of the Sterkfontein Member 4 hominid assemblage: A taphonomic reassessment of the complete hominid fossil sample (1936–1999). Am J Phys Anthropol. 2004;125:1–15. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.10278</u>
- 16 Lockwood CA, Tobias PV. Morphology and affinities of new hominin cranial remains from Member 4 of the Sterkfontein Formation, Gauteng Province, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 2002;42:389–450. <u>http://dx.doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jhev.2001.0532</u>
- 17 Broom R, Robinson JT. Further evidence of the structure of Sterkfontein ape-man *Plesianthropus*. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum; 1950.
- 18 Brain CK. The hunters or the hunted? An introduction to African cave taphonomy. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1981.
- 19 Moggi-Cecchi J, Tobias PV, Beynon AD. The mixed dentition and associated skull fragments of a juvenile fossil hominid from Sterkfontein, South Africa. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1998;106:425–465. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-8644(199808)106:4<425::AID-AJPA2>3.0.CO;2-1">http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-8644(199808)106:4<425::AID-AJPA2>3.0.CO;2-1
- 20 Moggi-Cecchi J, Grine FE, Tobias PV. Early hominid dental remains from Members 4 and 5 of the Sterkfontein Formation (1966–1996 excavations): Catalogue, individual associations, morphological descriptions and initial metrical analysis. J Hum Evol. 2006;50:239–328. <u>http://dx.doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2005.08.012</u>
- 21 Kuman K, Clarke RJ. Stratigraphy, artefact industries and hominid associations for Sterkfontein, Member 5. J Hum Evol. 2000;38:827–847. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jhev.1999.0392
- 22 Wood B. Origin and evolution of the genus *Homo*. Nature. 1992;355:783–790. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/355783a0
- 23 Grine FE. Implications of morphological diversity in early *Homo* crania from Eastern and southern Africa. In: Tobias PV, Raath M, Moggi-Cecchi J, Doyle G, editors. Humanity from the African naissance to the coming millennia. Firenze: Firenze University Press; 2001. p. 107–115.
- 24 Curnoe D, Tobias PV. Description, new reconstruction, comparative anatomy, and classification of the Sterkfontein Stw 53 cranium, with discussions about the taxonomy of other southern African early *Homo* remains. J Hum Evol. 2006;50:36–77. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2005.07.008

- 25 Herries AIR, Shaw J. Palaeomagnetic analysis of the Sterkfontein palaeocave deposits: Implications for the age of the hominin fossils and stone tool industries. J Hum Evol. 2011;60:523–539. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2010.09.001
- 26 Herries AIR, Curnoe D, Adams JW. A multi-disciplinary seriation of early *Homo* and *Paranthropus* bearing palaeocaves in southern Africa. Quat Int. 2009;202:14–28. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2008.05.017
- 27 Clarke RJ. Early Acheulean with *Homo habilis* at Sterkfontein. In: Tobias PV, editor. Hominid evolution: Past, present and future. New York: Alan Liss; 1985. p. 287–298.
- 28 Reynolds SC, Clarke RJ, Kuman KA. The view from the Lincoln Cave: Mid- to late Pleistocene fossil deposits from Sterkfontein hominid site, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 2007;53:260–271. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2007.02.004</u>
- 29 Broom R, Robinson JT. Swartkrans ape-man *Paranthropus crassidens*. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum; 1952.
- 30 Robinson JT. *Telanthropus* and its phylogenetic significance. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1953;11:445–502. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330110402</u>
- 31 Grine FE. New hominid fossils from the Swartkrans formation (1979–1986 excavations): Craniodental specimens. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1989;79:409–449. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330790402
- 32 Tobias PV. The earliest Transvaal members of the genus *Homo* with another look at some problems of hominid taxonomy and systematics. Z Morph Anthrop. 1978;69:225– 265.
- Napier JR. Fossil metacarpals from Swartkrans. Fossil mammals of Africa 17. London:
 British Museum (Natural History); 1959.
- 34 Susman RL. New hominid fossils from the Swartkrans formation (1979–1986 excavations): Postcranial specimens. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1989;79:451–474. https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330790403
- 35 Day MH, Scheuer JL. SKW 14147: A new hominid metacarpal from Swartkrans. J Hum Evol. 1973;2:429–438. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0047-2484(73)90121-8</u>
- 36 Clarke RJ. A juvenile cranium and some adult teeth of early *Homo* from Swartkrans. S Afr J Sci. 1977;73:46–49.
- 37 Olson TR. Hominid phylogenetics and the existence of *Homo* in Member I of the Swartkrans formation, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 1978;7:159–178. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0047-2484(78)80008-6</u>
- 38 Clarke RJ. The Ndutu cranium and the origin of *Homo sapiens*. J Hum Evol. 1990;19:699–736. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0047-2484(90)90004-U</u>

- 39 Smith HF, Grine FE. Cladistic analysis of early Homo crania from Swartkrans and Sterkfontein, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 2008;54:684–704. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2007.10.012
- 40 Susman RL, De Ruiter DJ, Brain CK. Recently identified postcranial remains of Paranthropus and early Homo from Swartkrans Cave, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 2001;41:607–629. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jhev.2001.0510</u>
- 41 Grine FE, Strait DS. New hominid fossils from Member 1 'Hanging Remnant', Swartkrans Formation, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 1994;26:57–75.
- 42 Pickering TR, Heaton JL, Clarke RJ, Sutton MB, Brain CK, Kuman K. New hominid fossils from Member 1 of the Swartkrans formation, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 2012;62:618–628. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2012.02.003</u>
- Clark JD. Stone artefact assemblages from members 1-3, Swartkrans Cave. In: Brain CK, editor. A cave's chronicle of early man. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum; 1993. p. 167–194.
- 44 Schwartz JH, Tattersall I. The human fossil record. Volume two: Craniodental morphology of genus *Homo* (Africa and Asia). Hoboken: Wiley-Liss; 2003.
- 45 Susman RL. Hominid postcranial remains from Swartkrans. In: Brain CK, editor Swartkrans: A cave's chronicle of early man. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum; 1993. p. 117-136.
- 46 Grine FE. Description and preliminary analysis of new hominid craniodental fossils from the Swartkrans formation. In: Brain CK, editor. Swartkrans: A cave's chronicle of early man. Pretoria: Transvaal Museum; 1993. p. 75–116.
- 47 Dart RA. The first human mandible from the Cave of Hearths, Makapansgat. S Afr Archaeol Bull. 1948;3:96–98.
- 48 Tobias PV. Human skeletal remains from the cave of Hearths, Makapansgat, Northern Transvaal. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1971;34:335–367. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330340305
- 49 Beaumont PB, Vogel JC. On a timescale for the past million years of human history in central South Africa. S Afr J Sci. 2006;102:217–228.
- 50 Millard AR. A critique of the chronometric evidence for hominid fossils: I. Africa and the Near East 500–50 ka. J Hum Evol. 2008;54:848–874. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2007.11.002
- 51 Wood B. Reconstructing human evolution: Achievements, challenges, and opportunities. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010;107:8902–8909. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1001649107

- 52 Curnoe D. The Bed 3 mandible. In: McNabb J, Sinclair A, editors. The Cave of Hearths: Makapan Middle Pleistocene Research Project. Oxford: Archaeopress; 2009. p. 138– 149.
- 53 Pearson OM, Grine FE. Re-analysis of the hominid radii from Cave of Hearths and Klasies River Mouth, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 1997;32:577–592. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jhev.1996.0127
- 54 Singer R. The Saldanha skull from Hopefield, South Africa. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1954;12:345–362. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330120309</u>
- 55 Klein RG, Avery G, Cruz-Uribe K, Steele TE. The mammalian fauna associated with an archaic hominin skullcap and later Acheulean artifacts at Elandsfontein, Western Cape Province, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 2007;52:164–186. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2006.08.006
- 56 Morris AG. A master catalogue: Holocene human skeletons from South Africa. Johannesburg: Witwatersrand University Press; 1992.
- 57 Grün R, Brink JS, Spooner NA, Taylor L, Stringer CB, Franciscus RG, et al. Direct dating of Florisbad hominid. Nature. 1996;382:500–501. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/382500a0
- 58 Kuman K, Inbar M, Clarke RJ. Palaeoenvironments and cultural sequence of the Florisbad Middle Stone Age hominid site, South Africa. J Arch Sci. 1999;26:1409– 1425. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jasc.1999.0439</u>
- 59 Schmid P, Berger LR. Middle Pleistocene hominid carpal proximal phalanx from the Gladysvale site, South Africa. S Afr J Sci. 1997;93:430–431.
- 60 Lacruz RS, Brink JS, Hancox PJ, Skinner AR, Herries A, Schmid P, et al. Palaeontology and geological context of a Middle Pleistocene faunal assemblage from the Gladysvale Cave, South Africa. Palaeontologia Africana. 2002;38:99–114.
- 61 Hall G, Pickering R, Lacruz R, Hancox J, Berger LR, Schmid P. An Acheulean handaxe from Gladysvale Cave site, Gauteng, South Africa. S Afr J Sci. 2006;102:103–105.
- 62 Berger LR, Parkington JE. A new Pleistocene hominid-bearing locality at Hoedjiespunt, South Africa. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1995;98:601– 609.http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330980415
- 63 Stynder DD, Moggi-Cecchi J, Berger LR, Parkington JE. Human mandibular incisors from the late Middle Pleistocene locality of Hoedjiespunt 1, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 2001;41:369–383. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jhev.2001.0488</u>
- 64 Churchill SE, Berger LR, Parkington JE. A Middle Pleistocene human tibia from Hoedjiespunt, Western Cape, South Africa. S Afr J Sci. 2000;96:367–368.

- 65 Grine FE, Henshilwood CS, Sealy JC. Human remains from Blombos Cave, South Africa: (1997–1998 excavations). J Hum Evol. 2000;38:755–765. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jhev.1999.0379
- 66 Grine FE, Henshilwood CS. Additional human remains from Blombos Cave, South Africa: (1999–2000 excavations). J Hum Evol. 2002;42:293–302. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jhev.2001.0525
- 67 Wang Q, Tobias P, Roberts D, Jacobs Z. A re-examination of a human femur found at the Blind River Site, East London, South Africa: Its age, morphology, and breakage pattern. Anthropol Rev. 2008;71:43–61. <u>http://dx/doi.org/10.2478/v10044-008-0009-1</u>
- 68 Cooke HBS, Malan BD, Wells LH. 3. Fossil Man in the Lebombo Mountains, South Africa: The 'Border Cave,' Ingwavuma District, Zululand. Man. 1945;45:6–13.
- 69 Millard AR. Bayesian analysis of ESR dates, with application to Border Cave. Quat Geochronol. 2006;1:159–166. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2006.03.002</u>
- 70 De Villiers H. A second adult human mandible from Border Cave, Ingwavuma District, KwaZulu, South Africa. S Afr J Sci. 1976;72:212–215.
- Sillen A, Morris A. Diagenesis of bone from Border Cave: Implications for the age of the Border Cave hominids. J Hum Evol. 1996;31:499–506.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jhev.1996.0075
- 72 Pfeiffer S, Zehr MK. A morphological and histological study of the human humerus from Border Cave. J Hum Evol. 1996;31:49–59. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jhev.1996.0048</u>
- 73 Pearson OM, Grine FE. Morphology of the Border Cave hominid ulna and humerus. S Afr J Sci. 1996;92:231–236.
- 74 Klein RG. Southern Africa and modern human origins. J Anthropol Res. 2001;57:1–16.
- 75 Grine FE, Klein RG, Volman TP. Dating, archaeology and human fossils from the Middle Stone Age levels of Die Kelders, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 1991;21:363–395. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0047-2484(91)90113-A</u>
- Grine FE. Middle Stone Age human fossils from Die Kelders Cave 1, Western Cape
 Province, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 2000;38:129–145.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jhev.1999.0353
- Avery G, Cruz-Uribe H, Goldberg P, Grine FE, Klein RG, Lenardi MJ, et al. The 1992–
 1993 excavations at the Die Kelders Middle and Later Stone Age cave site, South Africa. J Field Archaeol. 1997;24:263–291.
- Verna C, Texier P-J, Rigaud J-P, Poggenpoel C, Parkington J. New Middle Stone Age human remains found at the site of Diepkloof Rock Shelter (Western Cape, South Africa). Proceedings of the European Society for the Study of Human Evolution. 2012;1:186.

- 79 Klein RG, Cruz-Uribe K, Beaumont PB. Environmental, ecological, and paleoanthropological implications of the late Pleistocene mammalian fauna from Equus Cave, northern Cape Province, South Africa. Quat Res. 1991;36:94–119. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0033-5894(91)90019-2</u>
- 80 Wadley L. The Pleistocene Later Stone Age south of the Limpopo River. J World Prehist. 1993;7:243–296. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf00974721</u>
- 81 Mirazon-Lahr M. The evolution of modern human diversity, A study of cranial variation. Cambridge Studies in Biological Anthropology 18. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1996.
- 82 Rightmire GP, Deacon HJ. Comparative studies of Late Pleistocene human remains from Klasies River Mouth, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 1991;20:131–156. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0047-2484(91)90054-Y
- 83 Bräuer G, Deacon HJ, Zipfel F. Comment on the new maxillary finds from Klasies River, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 1992;23:419–422. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0047-2484(92)90089-R</u>
- 84 Rightmire GP, Deacon HJ. New human teeth from Middle Stone Age deposits at Klasies River, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 2001;41:535–544. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jhev.2001.0500</u>
- 85 Grine FE. Observations on Middle Stone Age human teeth from Klasies River Main Site, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 2012;63:750–758. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2012.08.005
- 86 Churchill SE, Pearson OM, Grine FE, Trinkaus E, Holliday TW. Morphological affinities of the proximal ulna from Klasies River main site: Archaic or modern? J Hum Evol. 1996;31:213–237. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jhev.1996.0058</u>
- Rightmire GP, Deacon HJ, Schwartz JH, Tattersall I. Human foot bones from Klasies
 River main site, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 2006;50:96–103.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2005.08.010
- Beacon HJ. The context of the 1967–8 sample of human remains from Cave 1 Klasies
 River Main Site. Goodwin Ser S Afr Archaeol Soc. 2008;10:143–149.
- 89 Singer R, Wymer J, editors. The Middle Stone Age at Klasies River Mouth in South Africa. Chicago: Chicago University Press; 1982.
- 90 Wurz S. Variability in the Middle Stone Age Lithic Sequence, 115,000-60,000 years ago at Klasies River, South Africa. J Arch Sci. 2002;29:1001–1015. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jasc.2001.0799</u>
- 91 Marean CW, Bar-Matthews M, Fisher E, Goldberg P, Herries A, Karkanas P, et al. The stratigraphy of the Middle Stone Age sediments at Pinnacle Point Cave 13B (Mossel

Bay, Western Cape Province, South Africa). J Hum Evol. 2010;59:234–255. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2010.07.007

- 92 Marean CW, Nilssen PJ, Brown K, Jerardino A, Stynder D. Paleoanthropological investigations of Middle Stone Age sites at Pinnacle Point, Mossel Bay (South Africa): Archaeology and hominid remains from the 2000 field season. PalaeoAnthropology. 2004;1:14–83.
- 93 De Ruiter DJ, Brophy JK, Lewis PJ, Churchill SE, Berger LR. Faunal assemblage composition and paleoenvironment of Plovers Lake, a Middle Stone Age locality in Gauteng Province, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 2008;55:1102–1117. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2008.07.011
- 94 Klein RG. Palaeoenvironmental implications of quaternary large mammals in the fynbos region. In: Deacon HJ, Hendey QB, Lambrechts JJN, editors. Fynbos palaeoecology: A preliminary synthesis. Pretoria: Cooperative Scientific Programmes Council for Scientific and Industrial Research; 1983. p. 117–138.
- 95 Grine FE, Klein RG. Late Pleistocene human remains from the Sea Harvest site, Saldanha Bay, South Africa. S Afr J Sci. 1993;98:145–152.
- 96 Trinkaus E. Early modern humans. Annu Rev Anthrop. 2005;34:207–230. https://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.34.030905.154913
- 97 Clark JD. Human behavioral differences in southern Africa during the Later Pleistocene. Am Anthropol. 1971;73:1211–1236. http://dx.doi.org/10.1525/aa.1971.73.5.02a00200
- 98 McCrossin M. Human molars from later Pleistocene deposits of Witkrans Cave, Gaap Escarpment, Kalahari Margin. Hum Evol. 1992;7:1–10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf02436255
- 99 De Miguel C, Henneberg M. Variation in hominid brain size: How much is due to method? HOMO – J Comp Hum Biol. 2001;52:3–58. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1078/0018-442X-00019</u>
- 100 Tattersall I, Human origins: Out of Africa. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009;106:16018– 16021. <u>http://dx.doi./org/10.1073/pnas.0903207106</u>
- 101 Eloff JF. Bushman Rock Shelter, Eastern Transvaal: Excavations, 1967–8. S Afr Archaeol Bull. 1969;24:60.
- 102 Protsch R, De Villiers H. Bushman Rock Shelter, Origstad, Eastern Transvaal, South Africa. J Hum Evol. 1974;3:387–396. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0047-2484(74)90201-2</u>
- 103 Plug I. Some research results on the Late Pleistocene and Early Holocene deposits of Bushman Rock Shelter, Eastern Transvaal. S Afr Archaeol Bull. 1981;36:14–21.

- 104 Smith P, Nshimirimana R, De Beer F, Morris D, Jacobson L, Chazan M, et al. Canteen Kopje: A new look at an old skull. S Afr J Sci. 2012;108(1/2), Art. #738, 9 pages. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4102/sajs.v108i1/2.738</u>
- 105 Grine FE, Bailey RM, Harvati K, Nathan RP, Morris AG, Henderson GM, et al. Late Pleistocene human skull from Hofmeyr, South Africa, and modern human origins. Science. 2007;315:226–229. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1136294</u>
- 106 Orton J. The Later Stone Age lithic sequence at Elands Bay, Western Cape, South Africa: Raw materials, artefacts and sporadic change. Southern African Humanities. 2006;18:1–28.
- 107 Stynder DD, Ackermann RR, Sealy JC. Early to mid-Holocene South African Later Stone Age human crania exhibit a distinctly Khoesan morphological pattern. S Afr J Sci. 2007;103:349–352.
- 108 Protsch R, Oberholzer JJ. Palaeoanthropology, chronology, and archeology of the Matjes River Rock Shelter. Z Morph Anthrop. 1975;67:32–43.
- 109 Pike AWG, Eggins S, Grün R, Thackeray F. U-series dating of TP1, an almost complete human skeleton from Tuinplaas (Springbok Flats), South Africa. S Afr J Sci. 2004;100:381–383.