Private sector contribution to SDG 3: Health and Well-being – a South African case study

Since the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) were globally adopted by world leaders in 2015\textsuperscript{1}, worldwide the private sector has openly embraced them and committed to address the SDG targets\textsuperscript{2,3}. The United Nations Global Compact\textsuperscript{4} lists 10 principles that enable businesses to adopt socially responsible practices into their strategies. Similarly, the Global Reporting Initiative is an internationally recognised set of guidelines to enable businesses to report on how they address sustainability.\textsuperscript{6}

While it is primarily governments’ responsibility to provide the enabling environment for SDG implementation, they will not be achieved without private sector involvement.\textsuperscript{7} Businesses have a significant role to play as engines of economic growth, employment, finance, technology and innovation. They report their social contributions in annual sustainability and integrated reports. Because a healthy population contributes to a healthy workforce, it is in the interest of business to address human health.\textsuperscript{7-9}

SDG 3 – Good Health and Well-being – seeks to ensure health and well-being at every life stage.\textsuperscript{10} This goal is broader than the Millennium Development Goals on child/maternal mortality and communicable diseases.\textsuperscript{11} SDG 3 includes 13 targets and 26 high-level indicators which address all major health priorities, including reproductive, maternal and child health; communicable, non-communicable and environmental diseases; universal health coverage; and access for all people to safe, effective, quality and affordable medicines and vaccines. Aspects of health are also captured in targets of several other SDGs.\textsuperscript{11} Interconnectedness of SDG 3 goals with other SDG goals highlights that population health is a major beneficiary of sustainable growth and central to the achievement of SDGs.\textsuperscript{12}

Universal health coverage (SDG Target 3.8) is a unifying platform for making progress on SDG 3\textsuperscript{13} and it emphasises the importance of universal access to health-care services. The private sector has provided financial mechanisms and has also provided expertise in enlarging human capacity and conducting research for health care.\textsuperscript{14} The contribution that the private sector can make to achieving SDG 3 is being recognised\textsuperscript{14} and should be reported by businesses. Through their corporate social investment (CSI) programmes, business can leverage capabilities that contribute to universal health coverage\textsuperscript{15}, including innovative data collection technologies, enhanced disease surveillance, improved supply chain management practices for storage and delivery of essential health supplies.

SDGs provide an opportunity for businesses to support public health through their value chains, communication activities, occupational health and safety practices, and employee benefits. By ensuring that employees have safe working conditions and access to health services, businesses build better relationships with their employees. The purpose of the current study was to understand the extent to which South African businesses explicitly report contributions to SDG global health objectives. This analysis is important because the country faces significant public health issues that cannot be overcome without private sector contribution.

South Africa and its public health related challenges

South Africa faces a quadruple burden of disease\textsuperscript{16} and the mortality rate attributed to cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes and/or chronic respiratory disease is ranked third worst in sub-Saharan Africa. Mean probability of dying from one or more of these four diseases in 2015 in South Africa (ages 30–70 years) was 26% compared with 21% for all of sub-Saharan Africa.\textsuperscript{16} There is concern about the increase in the prevalence of non-communicable diseases.\textsuperscript{17} The Global Burden of Disease 2015 SDG Collaborators created an overall health-related SDG index for which South Africa was ranked 134 (out of 188).\textsuperscript{18}

Business case for social involvement in public health

Today, it is not enough for a business to only make a profit for shareholders; their licence to operate must include a contribution to social capital.\textsuperscript{19} The unprecedented pace of global change presents businesses with new risks, such as effects on ecosystems and population health, and affects their modi operandi. These interconnected relations are pressuring the private sector to appreciate that it is not isolated from the communities and the physical environment in which it operates.\textsuperscript{19,20}

A business contributes to community health by ensuring that it safeguards the environment that ensures commercial viability.\textsuperscript{20} Moreover, public–private partnerships for public health can, for example, increase access to pharmaceuticals in developing countries as new technologies come to market.\textsuperscript{21} Increase in diseases poses one of the greatest threats to the global economy.\textsuperscript{22,23} Recent outbreaks such as Ebola demonstrated extraordinary health, economic and security risks associated with infectious disease epidemics.\textsuperscript{24} Ebola alone affected 28 639 people and caused 11 316 deaths, leading to USD2.2 billion in lost GDP combined in Guinea, Liberia and Sierra Leone.\textsuperscript{24} Such outbreaks threaten not only macroeconomic stability but also food security, human capital development and private sector growth.\textsuperscript{6} Investing in global health security and emergency preparedness is a priority in an increasingly globalised world.\textsuperscript{25} Such investment is critical to health equity, as vulnerable, marginalised people are primary victims. Improving health contributes to economic development and stability.\textsuperscript{25} The private sector has resources and expertise to contribute to strengthening health security by protecting their employees and communities in which they operate.\textsuperscript{25}

Gathering the evidence

Businesses were drawn from those listed on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange (JSE). The top 100 (out of ~400 listed) were extracted from the JSE website (\url{www.jse.co.za}), and of these, 88 were included in the study that was based upon available annual reports over three consecutive financial years (2016–2018). The list of 88
businesses and their JSE-defined sector type (https://www.sharenet.co.za) was exported into Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Office 265 ProPlus) for analysis. In 2019, two researchers searched the Internet for top 100 businesses’ annual integrated and/or sustainability reports for 2016, 2017 and 2018. Once these reports were located, they were downloaded and analysed to identify: (1) mentions of SDGs; (2) specific mentions of SDG 3; and, if SDG 3 was mentioned (3) whether it was being applied to communities and society beyond their workforce/individual customers.

In 2016, 25 businesses specifically mentioned SDGs in either report (Table 1), while only eight reports specifically mentioned SDG 3. The number that reported SDGs and SDG 3 explicitly in 2017 more than doubled (to 18) from that in 2016 and increased again in 2018 (to 20). Businesses stated their contribution to SDG 3 through their health and safety strategies and plans or through CSI initiatives. Where companies discussed their contributions to SDGs and/or SDG 3, this input was mainly through sustainability reports (not a mandatory report as is the case for integrated reports).

Discussion

Even though it is still ‘early days’ in terms of SDG implementation, we expected more companies to have explicitly linked their contributions to SDG targets and SDG 3 given the UNDC guidance. More of them itemised their SDG contribution in 2017 and 2018 than in 2016 and we expect that this trend will continue.

Of the 88 companies investigated, it was those from the mining sector that currently have the greatest number highlighting their contributions to SDG 3. Companies in the mining sector have to comply with health and safety regulations as a requirement of the Mine Health and Safety Act (Act No. 29 of 1996). Mining health risks include cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, substance abuse and domestic violence. Health and safety programmes are initiated not only for employees but also as a CSI to invest in community health programmes. As health hazards relevant to mineral mining are discussed in SDG 3 Targets 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5, mining companies can align their programmes and reporting. One mining company quantified its data to demonstrate contribution to HIV/AIDS and non-communicable disease targets. In this sustainability report, the company detailed relevant SDG 3 targets and also provided dedicated commitment and activities to each target. Another stated exactly how the company contributed to SDG 3 by referring to a memorandum of understanding with the Provincial Department of Health to provide primary health-care services to the communities surrounding their operations.

A beverage business provided insight into its ‘healthier world programme’ in which it invests in programmes to shift social behaviour around alcohol abuse (SDG 3 Target 3.5). Another company in the same sector noted that although it produced alcoholic drinks, it also had embarked on programmes to educate pregnant women on the impact of alcohol on their unborn children (SDG 3 Target 3.2). There were also programmes to reduce road deaths and injuries from drinking and driving (SDG 3 Target 3.6). But surprisingly, none of the JSE health-related businesses, for example hospital management, long-term care, pharmaceuticals and biotechnology, mentioned SDG 3, despite their potentially critical role.26

Generally, businesses that mentioned SDG 3 did so in relation to either their CSI among their own employees, their customers or, more rarely, society by making employee health care available. There were programmes that extended beyond the commercial responsibilities that aimed to improve livelihoods or their employees’ families to access health care. For example, a mining business offered free testing for HIV/AIDS, counselling and treatment to their employees as well as education awareness programmes among nearby communities. There is a need for businesses to be made aware of the SDGs through the Global Reporting Initiative to encourage them to align their CSI activities to specific SDGs. This would not only benefit the company but would help government track progress towards meeting SDG targets.

Notwithstanding the potential benefit that the business sector can contribute to the achievement of SDG 3, it must be noted that there have been challenging situations and tensions between some CSI programmes and public health.27 Some CSI activities conducted by the tobacco and alcohol industries were beset with conflicts of interest and systematic biases. Careful evaluation and monitoring, and even possible policy and regulation by independent bodies, may be required to ensure sound, ethical public health and health promotion initiatives by businesses.

In our review of the reports that mentioned being involved in activities relevant to SDG 3, we also considered missed opportunities. We believe there is potential for private health-care providers to work more closely with public providers to expand the reach of health-care services and to close gaps in quality care. Some companies could upgrade hospital and clinic infrastructure and even prioritise access to new services and products via CSI. There were also opportunities where private resources and expertise might be extended to state institutions by introducing innovation or training for health-care workers. And large international businesses could use their transborder reach to tackle global health challenges on the scale required to achieve SDG targets.

Conclusions

Many of South Africa’s top JSE-listed companies do not publicly report on their contribution to the SDGs. This is not to say they do not contribute to SDGs or SDG-related public health targets but they are failing to align their activities and/or to report on them in the public domain. Given that the SDGs are guiding principles for humanity’s future, it is important that businesses not only report on their activities but also make greater contributions towards achieving the SDGs by working in partnership with government and other organisations.

---

Table 1: Descriptive findings of the analysis and characteristics of the businesses that explicitly mention the SDGs and/or SDG 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total N = 88</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 = Missing</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability Report available online</td>
<td>58 (51)</td>
<td>42 (37)</td>
<td>60 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Annual Report available online</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>97 (85)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either Report explicitly mentions any SDG</td>
<td>72 (63)</td>
<td>28 (25)</td>
<td>58 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either Report explicitly mentions SDG 3</td>
<td>91 (80)</td>
<td>9 (8)</td>
<td>80 (70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of businesses mentioning SDG 3 by sector:

- Agriculture: 1
- Mining: 4
- Wholesale and trade: 0
- Finance: 1
- Manufacturing: 1
- Telecommunication: 1
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